

to Mr. Lawrence Wolfe at (202) 720-1784.

Dated: May 22, 1995.

**Adam M. Golodner,**

*Deputy Administrator, Program Operations.*

[FR Doc. 95-12884 Filed 5-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-M

**South Mississippi Electric Power Association; Notice of Intent To Hold Scoping Meeting and Prepare an Environmental Assessment and/or Environmental Impact Statement**

**AGENCY:** Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to hold scoping meeting and prepare an environmental assessment and/or environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) formerly the Rural Electrification Administration, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and RUS Environmental Policies and Procedures (7 CFR Part 1794) may prepare an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for its Federal action related to a proposal by South Mississippi Electric Power Association (SMEPA) to construct additional natural gas-fired generating capacity. RUS will provide project approval and may provide financing assistance to SMEPA for project construction costs.

**DATES:** RUS will conduct a scoping meeting in an open house forum from 6 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. on Wednesday June 28, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held in SMEPA's Headquarters conference center at 7037 U.S. Highway 49 in Hattiesburg, Mississippi.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lawrence R. Wolfe, Chief, Environmental Compliance Branch, Rural Utilities Service, Room 1246 South Agriculture Building, Mail Code 1569, Washington, DC 20250, telephone (202) 720-1784.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** South Mississippi Electric Power Association proposes to construct additional gas-fired generating capacity at its Moselle Station on Jones County. The Moselle Station is located approximately 2 miles west of Interstate 59 on Highway 589. The proposed additions would consist of a 100 megawatt (MW) simple cycle combustion turbine and repowering an existing 59 MW steam electric generator

with a 120 MW combined cycle combustion turbine. Other facilities include two 161 kilovolt bays that would be added to the Moselle Substation. The alternate site for the simple cycle combustion turbine is SMEPA's Morrow Station in Lamar County.

Alternatives to be considered by RUS and SEPA include: (a) No action, (b) demand-side reduction, (c) purchased power from other utilities or independent power producers and (d) alternative sites.

To be presented at the public scoping meeting will be the Combustion Turbine Project Alternative Analysis and Siting Study (Study) prepared by SMEPA. The Study is available for public review at RUS and SMEPA at the addresses provided in this notice.

The Study can also be reviewed at the Hattiesburg Public Library, Main Street, Hattiesburg, the office of Dixie Electric Power Association, Highway 84 East, Laurel, and the office of Pearl River Valley Electric Power Association, Highway 13 North, Columbia.

Government agencies, private organizations, and the public are invited to participate in the planning and analysis of the proposed project. Representatives from RUS and SMEPA will be available to discuss RUS' environmental review process, describe the project and alternatives under consideration, discuss the scope of environmental issues to be considered, answer questions, and accept oral and written comments. Written comments will be accepted for at least 30 days after the June 28 public scoping meeting. Written comments should be sent to RUS at the address provided in this notice.

From information provided in the Study, input from government agencies, private organizations, and the public, SMEPA will prepare an environmental analysis to be submitted to RUS for review. If significant effects are not evident based on a review of the environmental analysis and other relevant information, RUS will prepare an environmental assessment to determine if the preparation of an EIS is warranted.

Should RUS determine that the preparation of an EIS is not warranted, it will prepare a finding of no significant impact (FONSI). The FONSI will be made available for public review and comment for 30 days. RUS will not take its final action related to the project prior to the expiration of the 30-day period.

Any final action by RUS related to the proposed project will be subject to, and contingent upon, compliance with all

relevant Federal environmental laws and regulations and completion of environmental procedures as prescribed by CEQ and RUS environmental policies and procedures.

Dated: May 22, 1995.

**Adam M. Golodner,**

*Deputy Administrator—Program Operations.*

[FR Doc. 95-12855 Filed 5-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-M

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[Docket 24-95]

**Foreign-Trade Zone 115, Beaumont, TX; Proposed Foreign-Trade Subzone; Mobil Corporation (Oil Refinery Complex), Jefferson/Liberty Counties, Texas**

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Foreign-Trade Zone of Southeast Texas, Inc., grantee of FTZ 115, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the oil refinery complex of Mobil Corporation, located in Jefferson/Liberty Counties (Beaumont area), Texas. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on May 16, 1995.

The refinery complex (3,165 acres) consists of 7 sites in Jefferson/Liberty Counties, Texas: *Site 1* (2,200 acres)—main refinery and petrochemical feedstock complex located along the Neches River at End of Burt Road, Jefferson County; *Site 2* (51 acres)—Mobil Colonial Tank Farm, located at 13300 West Port Arthur Road, Jefferson County; *Site 3* (24 acres)—Mobil Hull underground storage facility, located some 50 miles northwest of the refinery at End of Mobil Road in the City of Hull (Liberty County); *Site 4* (188 acres)—Daisetta underground petrochemical storage facility, located some 50 miles northwest of the refinery at End of Bobcat Lane in the City of Daisetta (Liberty County); *Site 5* (625 acres)—Mobil Magpetco Tank Farm, located at State Highway 366, five miles south of the refinery, Jefferson County; *Site 6*—crude oil storage facility within the Unocal Nederland tank farm, located at State Highway 366, adjacent to Site 5, Jefferson County; *Site 7*—crude oil storage facility within the Sun Marine Terminal, located at State Highway 347, adjacent to Site 6, Jefferson County.

The refinery (330,000 barrels per day; 1,800 employees) is used to produce

fuels and petrochemical feedstocks. Fuels produced include gasoline, jet fuel, kerosene, gas oil, diesel fuel, residual fuels, and naphthas. Petrochemicals include hydrogen, methane, ethane, propane, benzene, toluene, xylene, ethylene and propylene. Refinery by-products include petroleum coke, sulfur, lubricating oils, and paraffin wax. Most of the crude oil (80 percent of inputs), and some feedstocks and motor fuel blendstocks are sourced abroad.

Zone procedures would exempt the refinery from Customs duty payments on the foreign products used in its exports. On domestic sales, the company would be able to choose the finished product duty rate (nonprivileged foreign status—NPF) on certain petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products (duty-free). The duty on crude oil ranges from 5.25 cents to 10.5 cents/barrel. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures would help improve the refinery's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations (as revised, 56 FR 50790–50808, 10–8–91), a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is July 24, 1995. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to August 8, 1995.)

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce District  
Office #1 Allen Center, Suite 1160,  
500 Dallas, Houston, Texas 77002

Office of the Executive Secretary,  
Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room  
3716, U.S. Department of Commerce,  
14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW.,  
Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: May 19, 1995.

**John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,**  
*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95–12905 Filed 5–24–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## International Trade Administration

### Determination Not To Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings Nor To Terminate Suspended Investigations

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Determination not To revoke  
antidumping duty orders and findings  
nor to terminate suspended  
investigations.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its determination not to revoke the antidumping duty orders and findings nor to terminate the suspended investigations listed below.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 25, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Michael Panfeld or the analyst listed  
under Antidumping Proceeding at:  
Office of Antidumping Compliance,  
Import Administration, International  
Trade Administration, U.S. Department  
of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution  
Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230,  
telephone (202) 482–4737.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The  
Department may revoke an antidumping  
duty order or finding or terminate a  
suspended investigation, pursuant to 19  
CFR 353.25(d)(4)(iii), if no interested  
party has requested an administrative  
review for four consecutive annual  
anniversary months and no domestic  
interested party objects to the revocation  
or requests an administrative review.

We had not received a request to  
conduct an administrative review for  
the most recent four consecutive annual  
anniversary months. Therefore,  
pursuant to § 353.25(d)(4)(i) of the  
Department's regulations, on May 3,  
May 4, June 1, July 1, August 1,  
September 1, September 29, October 31,  
November 25, and December 28 of 1994,  
and February 3 and March 1 of 1995, we  
published in the **Federal Register** a  
notice of intent to revoke these  
antidumping duty orders and findings  
and to terminate the suspended  
investigations and served written notice  
of the intent to each domestic interested  
party on the Department's service list in  
each case. Within the specified time  
frame, we received objections from  
domestic interested parties to our intent  
to revoke these antidumping duty orders  
and findings and to terminate the  
suspended investigations. Therefore,  
because domestic interested parties  
objected to our intent to revoke or  
terminate, we no longer intend to revoke  
these antidumping duty orders and

findings or to terminate the suspended  
investigations.

### *Antidumping Proceeding*

A–357–802

Argentina

Rectangular Tubing

Objection Date: May 27, 1994

Objector: Hannibal Industries, Inc.

A–831–801

Armenia

Solid Urea

Objection Date: July 26, 1994

Objector: Ad Hoc Committee of  
Domestic Nitrogen Producers

A–832–801

Azerbaijan

Solid Urea

Objection Date: July 26, 1994; July 28,  
1994

Objector: Ad Hoc Committee of  
Domestic Nitrogen Producers,  
Cominco Fertilizer (U.S.) Inc

A–822–801

Belarus

Solid Urea

Objection Date: July 26, 1994; July 28,  
1994

Objector: Ad Hoc Committee of  
Domestic Nitrogen Producers,  
Cominco

Fertilizers (U.S.) Inc.

A–423–077

Belgium

Sugar

Objection Date: June 22, 1994

Objector: American Sugar Cane  
League et al.

A–351–503

Brazil

Construction Castings

Objection Date: May 10, 1994

Objector: Municipal Castings Fair  
Trade Council

A–122–006

Canada

Steel Jacks

Objection Date: September 7, 1994

Objector: Bloomfield Manufacturing  
Company Inc.

A–122–085

Canada

Sugar and Syrups

Objection Date: May 17, 1994

Objector: American Sugar Cane  
League et al.

A–427–009

France

Industrial Nitrocellulose

Objection Date: August 17, 1994

Objector: Aqualon Company

A–427–078

France

Sugar

Objection Date: June 22, 1994

Objector: American Sugar Cane  
League et al.

A–833–801

Georgia